

REMARKS

Favorable reconsideration is respectfully requested.

The claims are 1 to 11.

Claim 1 has been amended and support for this amendment is evident from page 4, line 37 to page 5, line 1 of the present specification.

Applicants acknowledge with appreciation the indication that claims 3, 4, 6 and 11 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form. However, for reasons set forth below, it will be apparent that all of the claims in this application are now in condition for allowance.

Claims 1, 2, 5, and 7 to 10 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over GB 941305.

This rejection is respectfully traversed.

The above amendment to claim 1 overcomes the rejection on obviousness over GB 941305 because claim 1 is now clearly directed to polyfunctional (meth)acrylates (such as those of claim 3 - which has been indicated as allowable) which are not disclosed or suggested by GB 941305.

Hence, all of claims 2 to 10 are unobvious as well.

No further issues remaining, allowance of this application is respectfully requested.

If the Examiner has any comments or proposals for expediting prosecution, please contact undersigned at the telephone number below.

Respectfully submitted,

Isabelle FALLAIS et al.

By: Matthew M. Jacob
Matthew M. Jacob
Registration No. 25,154
Attorney for Applicants

MJ/aas
Washington, D.C. 20006-1021
Telephone (202) 721-8200
Facsimile (202) 721-8250
January 16, 2008